PTO/SB/21 (04-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the F	Paperwork Reduction Act of	1995, no persons	s are required to respond to a collection of	f information unles	s it displays a valid OMB control number.	
TRANSMITTAL FORM			Application Number	10/733,010		
			Filing Date	12/11/2003		
			First Named Inventor	Oscar Romero		
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Art Unit	3676	3676	
			Examiner Name	Boswell, (Boswell, Christopher J.	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission			Attorney Docket Number	8913-000	8913-000033	
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)						
Fee Transmittal Form		☐ Drawing	ı(s)	After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)		
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Amendment / Reply		Petition		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprie	Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		Status Letter		
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):		
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)		Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance; Fee(s) Transmittal (PTOL-85 - in duplicate); and postcard.		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement				du	oncate), and postcard.	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remark	ks			
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application						
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53				•		
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT						
Firm <i>or</i> Individual name	Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C		Attorney Name Christopher M. Brock		eg. No. '313	
Signature Christophe		Mr Brock	-			
Date	April 28, 2005					
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.						
Typed or printed name Christopher N		1. Brock		Express Mail Label No.	EV 570 164 999 US (4/28/2005)	
Signature	Chin	tooler	- Me Grock	Date	April 28, 2005	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

10/733,010

Notice of Allowance Dated

02/03/2005

Filing Date:

12/11/2003

Applicants:

Oscar Romero

Group Art Unit:

3676

Examiner:

BOSWELL, CHRISTOPHER J.

Title:

Door Handle Spring Assembly

Attorney Docket:

8913-000033

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Sir:

Applicant gratefully notes the allowance of Claims 1-10 in the present application. Reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear [the Examiner's] reasons for allowing a claim or claims." 37 C.F.R. 1.104 (e). In the present case, Applicant believes the record as a whole does make clear the reasons for allowance and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, Applicant does not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance. While Applicant believes the claims are allowable, Applicant does not acquiesce that patentability resides solely in

the specific feature or combination of features identified, or that each feature or combination of features identified is required for patentability, or that equivalents of any of the recited features are outside the scope of the claims. Moreover, to the extent the Reasons For Allowance do not separately address the subject matter of each claim, Applicant does not acquiesce to any inference that any one claim does not present patentable subject matter independent of any other claim.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 28, 2005

Christopher M. Brock

Reg. No. 27313

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600

CMB:bg